arXiv:1601.01733v1 [math.PR] 8 Jan 2016

Integration by Parts Formula and Applications for SPDEs with Jumps*

Feng-Yu Wang

School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China

Department of Mathematics, Swansea University, Singleton Park, SA2 8PP, United Kingdom

wangfy@bnu.edu.cn, F.-Y.Wang@swansea.ac.uk

January 11, 2016

Abstract

By using the Malliavin calculus and finite jump approximations, the Driver-type integration by parts formula is established for the semigroup associated to stochastic (partial) differential equations with noises containing a subordinate Brownian motion. As applications, the shift Harnack inequality and heat kernel estimates are derived. The main results are illustrated by SDEs driven by α-stable like processes.

AMS subject Classification: 60J75, 47G20, 60G52.
Keywords: Integration by parts formula, shift Harnack inequality, heat kernel, stochastic differential equation.

1 Introduction

A significant application of the Malliavin calculus is to describe the density of a Wiener functional using the integration by parts formula. In 1997, Driver [3] established the following integration by parts formula for the heat semigroup P_t on a compact Riemannian manifold M:

$$P_t(\nabla_Z f) = \mathbb{E}\{f(X_t)N_t\}, \quad f \in C^1(M), Z \in \mathscr{X},$$

where $\mathscr{X}$ is the set of all smooth vector fields on M, and N_t is a random variable depending on Z and the curvature tensor. From this formula we are able to characterize

*Supported in part by NNSFC (11131003, 11431014), the 985 project, the Laboratory of Mathematical and Complex Systems.

the derivative w.r.t. the second variable y of the heat kernel $p_t(x,y)$, see [10] for a recent study on integration by parts formulas and applications for SDEs/ SPDEs driven by Wiener processes. The backward coupling method developed in [10] has been also used in [4, 16] for SDEs driven by fractional Brownian motions and SPDEs driven by Wiener processes. The purpose of this paper is to investigate the integration by parts formula and applications for SDEs/SPDEs driven by purely jump Lévy noises, in particular, to derive estimates on the heat kernel and its derivatives for the solutions.

Let $\mathscr{L}(\mathbb{H})$ denotes the class of all bounded linear operators on $\mathbb{H}$ equipped with the operator norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let

$$\sigma : [0,\infty) \to \mathscr{L}(\mathbb{H}), \quad b : [0,\infty) \times \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}$$

be measurable and locally bounded, such that $b_t : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}$ is Lipschtiz continuous locally uniformly in t. Consider the following stochastic equation on a separable Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}$:

$$X_t = \mathrm{e}^{At}X_0 + \int_0^t \mathrm{e}^{A(t-s)}b_s(X_s)\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \mathrm{e}^{A(t-s)}\sigma_s \mathrm{d}W_{S(s)} + V_t, \quad t \geq 0, \tag{1.1}$$

where $W := (W_t)_{t\geq 0}$, $S := (S(t))_{t\geq 0}$ and $V := (V_t)_{t\geq 0}$ are independent stochastic processes such that

(i) W is the cylindrical Brownian motion on $\mathbb{H}$ with $W_0 = 0$;

(ii) V is a cádlág process on $\mathbb{H}$ with $V_0 = 0$;

(iii) S is the subordinator induced by a Bernstein function B, i.e. S is a one-dimensional increasing Lévy process with $S(0) = 0$ and Laplace transform

$$\mathbb{E}\mathrm{e}^{-rS(t)} = \mathrm{e}^{-tB(r)}, \quad t, r \geq 0.$$

(iv) $(A, \mathscr{D}(A))$ is a linear operator generating a C_0 contraction semigroup e^{At} on $\mathbb{H}$ such that

$$\int_0^T \|\mathrm{e}^{At}\|_{HS}^2 \mathrm{d}t < \infty, \quad T > 0,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{HS}$ is the Hilbert-Schmidt norm.

Then $(W_{S(t)})_{t\geq 0}$ is a Lévy process known as the subordinate Brownian motion (or subordinated process of the Brownian motion) with subordinator S (see e.g. [1, 6]), and for any initial value $X_0 = x \in \mathbb{H}$ the equation (1.1) has a unique solution (see [12, Proposition 4.1]). Let P_t be the associated Markov operator, i.e.

$$P_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}f(X_t(x)), \quad f \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{H}), t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{H}.$$

Bismut formula and Harnack inequalities for P_t have been studied in [17] and [12] by using regularization approximations of $S(t)$, but the study of the integration by parts formula and shift Harnack inequality is not yet done.

Since $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{e}^{At}) = \{0\}$, the inverse operator $\mathrm{e}^{-At} : \mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{e}^{At}) \to \mathbb{H}$ is well defined. To establish the integration by parts formula, we need the following assumptions.

(H1) $b_t \in C^2(\mathbb{H})$, and for $(t,x) \in [0,\infty)\times\mathbb{H}$ there holds $\nabla b_t(x) : \mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{e}^{At}) \to \mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{e}^{At})$ such that $B_t(\cdot) := \mathrm{e}^{-At}(\nabla b_t(\cdot))\mathrm{e}^{At}$ satisfies

$$\|B_t\|_\infty := \sup_{x\in\mathbb{H}} \|B_t(x)\| \le K_1(t), \quad \|\nabla B_t(x)\|_\infty := \sup_{x\in\mathbb{H}} \|\nabla B_t(x)\| \le K_2(t), \quad t \ge 0$$

for some increasing $K_1, K_2 \in C([0,\infty))$.

(H2) σ_t is invertible such that for some increasing $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in C([0,\infty))$,

$$\|\sigma_t\| \le \lambda_1(t), \quad \|\sigma_t^{-1}\| \le \lambda_2(t), \quad t \ge 0.$$

(H2) is a standard non-degenerate assumption, while (H1) means that the interaction between far away directions are weak enough. For instance, letting $-A$ be self-adjoint with discrete eigenvalues $0 < \lambda_1 \le \lambda_2 \le \lambda_3 \cdots$ and eigenbasis $\{e_i\}_{i\ge1}$, (H1) holds provided

$$|\langle \nabla_{e_i} b_t, e_j\rangle| \le K_1(t)\mathrm{e}^{-t|\lambda_i-\lambda_j|}, \quad \|\nabla\langle \nabla_{e_i} b_t, e_j\rangle\| \le K_2(t)\mathrm{e}^{-t|\lambda_i-\lambda_j|}, \quad t \ge 0, i,j \ge 1.$$

As already observed in [10] that comparing with the Bismut formula, the integration by parts formula is usually harder to establish. To strengthen this observation, we explain below that the regularization argument used in [17] for the Bismut formula is no longer valid for the integration by parts formula. For simplicity, let us consider the case when $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}^d, A = 0, V_t = 0, b_t = b$ and $\sigma_t = \sigma$. As in [17], for any $\varepsilon > 0$ let

$$S_\varepsilon(t) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_t^{t+\varepsilon} S(s)\mathrm{d}s + \varepsilon t, \quad t \ge 0.$$

Then $S_\varepsilon(\cdot)$ is differentiable and $S_\varepsilon \downarrow S$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. Consider the equation (note that we have assumed $V_t = 0, b_t = b$ and $\sigma_t = \sigma$)

$$\mathrm{d}X_t^\varepsilon = b(X_t^\varepsilon)\mathrm{d}t + \sigma \mathrm{d}W_{S_\varepsilon(t)}, \quad X_0^\varepsilon = X_0.$$

To apply the existing derivative formulas for SDEs driven by the Brownian motion, we take $Y_t^\varepsilon = X^\varepsilon_{S_\varepsilon^{-1}(t)}$ so that this equation reduces to

$$\mathrm{d}Y_t^\varepsilon = b(Y_t^\varepsilon)(S_\varepsilon^{-1})'(t)\mathrm{d}t + \sigma \mathrm{d}W_t, \quad Y_0^\varepsilon = X_0.$$

In [17], by using a known Bismut formula for Y_t^ε and letting $\varepsilon \to 0$, the corresponding formula for X_t is established. The crucial point for this argument is that the Bismut formula for Y_t^ε converges as $\varepsilon \to 0$. However, since S is not differentiable, the existing integration by parts formula of Y_t^ε (see e.g. [10, Theorem 5.1] with $H = \mathbb{R}^d$ and $A = 0$)

$$\mathbb{E}(\nabla_v f)(Y_T^\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{T}\mathbb{E}\bigg\{ f(Y_T^\varepsilon)\int_0^T \big\langle \sigma^{-1}\{v - t(S_\varepsilon^{-1})'(t)\nabla_v b(Y_t^\varepsilon)\}, \mathrm{d}W_t\big\rangle\bigg\}$$

does not converge to any explicit formula as $\varepsilon \to 0$, except when $\nabla_v b$ is trivial.

So, to establish the integration by parts formula, we will take a different approximation argument, i.e. the finite jump approximation used in [13] to establish the Bismut formula for SDEs with multiplicative Lévy noises. We have to indicate that in this paper we are not able to establish the integration by parts formula for SDEs with multiplicative Lévy noises. Note that even for SDEs driven by multiplicative Gaussian noises, the existing integration by parts formula using the Malliavin covariant matrix is in general less explicit.

To state our main result, for any $s \geq 0$ we introduce the $\mathscr{L}(\mathbb{H})$-valued processes $(J_{s,t})_{t\geq s}$ and $(\tilde{J}_{s,t})_{t\geq s}$, which solve the following random ODEs:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}J_{s,t} = B_t(X_t)J_{s,t}, \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\tilde{J}_{s,t} = (A + \nabla b_t(X_t))\tilde{J}_{s,t}, \quad J_{s,s} = \tilde{J}_{s,s} = I. \tag{1.2}$$

By (H1), we have

$$\|J_{s,t}^{-1}\| \vee \|J_{s,t}\| \leq \mathrm{e}^{\int_s^t K_1(s)\mathrm{d}s}, \quad t \geq s \geq 0. \tag{1.3}$$

Moreover, since e^{At} is contractive and $\bar{K}_1(t) := \|\nabla b_t\|_\infty$ is locally bounded in t, we have

$$\|\tilde{J}_{s,t}\| \leq \mathrm{e}^{\int_s^t \bar{K}_1(s)\mathrm{d}s}, \quad t \geq s \geq 0. \tag{1.4}$$

Theorem 1.1. *Assume* (H1) *and* (H2). *If* $\mathbb{E}S(T)^{-\frac{1}{2}} < \infty$, *then*

$$P_T(\nabla_{\mathrm{e}^{AT}v} f) = \mathbb{E}\big\{f(X_T)M_T^v\big\}, \quad v \in \mathbb{H}, \ f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \tag{1.5}$$

holds for

$$M_T^v := \frac{1}{S(T)}\bigg(\int_0^T \big\langle \sigma_t^{-1}\mathrm{e}^{At}J_{t,T}^{-1}v, \mathrm{d}W_{S(t)}\big\rangle + \int_0^T \mathrm{d}S(t)\int_t^T \mathrm{Tr}\Big\{\sigma_t^{-1}\mathrm{e}^{At}J_{t,r}^{-1}\big(\nabla_{J_{r,T}^{-1}v}B_r\big)(X_r)\tilde{J}_{t,r}\sigma_t\Big\}\mathrm{d}r\bigg).$$

This result extends [10, Theorem 5.1] where $S(t) \equiv t$ is considered. When $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}^d$ is finite-dimensional, we may take $A = 0$ so that and Theorem 1.1 with $\tilde{J} = J$ recovers the main result in [11]. In this case, according to [10], the integration by parts formula implies that P_T has a density $p_T(x, y)$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure, which is differentiable in y with

$$\nabla_v \log p_T(x,\cdot)(y) = -\mathbb{E}\Big(M_T^v\Big|X_T(x) = y\Big), \quad v, x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

For nonnegative $f \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $T > 0$, let

$$\mathrm{Ent}_{P_T}(f) = P_T(f\log f) - (P_T f)\log P_T f$$

be the relative entropy of f with respect to P_T. Below we present some applications of Theorem 1.1 for the finite-dimensional case (see also [11] for the Chinese version).

Corollary 1.2. *Assume* (H1), (H2), $\mathbb{H}=\mathbb{R}^d, A=0$ *and* $\mathbb{E}S(T)^{-\frac{1}{2}}<\infty$. *Let*

$$\beta(T)=dT\lambda_1(T)\lambda_2(T)K_2(T)\mathrm{e}^{3TK_1(T)},\quad T>0.$$

Then:

(1) *For any* $T>0$ *and* $v\in\mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\|P_T(\nabla_v f)\|_\infty\le|v|\cdot\|f\|_\infty\Big(\lambda_2(T)\mathrm{e}^{TK_1(T)}\mathbb{E}S(T)^{-\frac{1}{2}}+\beta(T)\Big),\quad f\in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d),$$
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\nabla_v p_T(x,\cdot)|(y)\mathrm{d}y\le|v|\Big(\lambda_2(T)\mathrm{e}^{TK_1(T)}\mathbb{E}S(T)^{-\frac{1}{2}}+\beta(T)\Big),\quad x\in\mathbb{R}^d.$$

(2) *For any* $p>1$, *there exists a constant* $C(p)\ge1$ *such that for any* $T>0$,

$$|P_T(\nabla f)|\le C(p)(P_T|f|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}\Big(\lambda_2(T)\mathrm{e}^{TK_1(T)}\big(\mathbb{E}S(T)^{-\frac{p}{2(p-1)}}\big)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}+\beta(T)\Big),\ f\in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d),$$
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\nabla\log p_T(x,\cdot)|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(y)p_T(x,y)\mathrm{d}y$$
$$\le C(p)\Big(\lambda_2(T)\mathrm{e}^{TK_1(T)}\big(\mathbb{E}S(T)^{-\frac{p}{2(p-1)}}\big)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}+\beta(T)\Big),\quad x\in\mathbb{R}^d.$$

(3) *For any* $\delta>0, v,x\in\mathbb{R}^d$ *and positive* $f\in\mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$|P_T(\nabla_v f)|\le\delta\mathrm{Ent}_{P_T}(f)+(P_Tf)\Big(\beta(T)|v|+\delta\log\mathbb{E}\exp\Big[\frac{\lambda_2(T)^2|v|^2\mathrm{e}^{2TK_1(T)}}{2\delta^2S(T)}\Big]\Big),$$
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\exp\Big[\frac{|\nabla_v\log p_T(x,\cdot)|(y)}{\delta}\Big]p_T(x,y)\mathrm{d}y\le\mathbb{E}\exp\Big[\frac{\beta(T)|v|}{\delta}+\frac{\lambda_2(T)^2|v|^2\mathrm{e}^{2TK_1(T)}}{2\delta^2S(T)}\Big].$$

Corollary 1.3. *In the situation of Corollary* 1.2. *Let* $p>1, T>0$. *If*

$$\Gamma_{T,p}(r):=\mathbb{E}\exp\left[\frac{p^2\lambda_2(T)^2\mathrm{e}^{2TK_1(T)}r^2}{2(p-1)^2S(T)}\right]<\infty,\quad r\ge0,$$

then the shift Harnack inequality

$$(P_Tf)^p(x)\le\exp\Big[\frac{p(\log p)\beta(T)|v|}{p-1}+\frac{p-1}{p}\log\Gamma_{T,p}(|v|)\Big]P_T(f^p(v+\cdot))(x)\tag{1.6}$$

holds for all $v,x\in\mathbb{R}^d$ *and positive* $f\in\mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$. *Consequently,*

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}p_T(x,y)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\mathrm{d}y\le\bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\exp\Big[-\frac{p(\log p)\beta(T)|v|}{p-1}-\frac{p-1}{p}\log\Gamma_{T,p}(|v|)\Big]\mathrm{d}v\bigg)^{\frac{-1}{p-1}}.$$

To illustrate the above results, we consider below the SDE driven by α-stable like noises.

Corollary 1.4. *In the situation of Corollary* 1.2. *Let* $B(r) \geq cr^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ *for* $r \geq r_0$, *where* $\alpha \in (0,2)$ *and* $c, r_0 > 0$ *are constants.*

(1) *For any* $p > 1$ *there exists a constant* $C(p) > 0$ *such that*

$$|P_T(\nabla f)| \leq \frac{C(p)(P_T|f|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{1 \wedge T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}, \quad T > 0, f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d),$$
$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla \log p_T(x, \cdot)|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(y) p_T(x,y) \mathrm{d}y \leq \frac{C(p)}{1 \wedge T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}, \quad T > 0.$$

(2) *Let* $\alpha \in (1,2)$. *Then there exists a constant* $C > 0$ *such that for any* $p > 1, \delta > 0, v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ *and* $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$|P_T(\nabla_v f)| \leq \delta \mathrm{Ent}_{P_T}(f) + (P_T f)\Big(\beta(T)|v| + \frac{C|v|^2}{\delta^2(1 \wedge T)^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}} + \frac{C|v|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}}{\{\delta^\alpha (1 \wedge T)\}^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}}\Big),$$
$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp\Big[\frac{|\nabla_v \log p_T(x,\cdot)(y)|}{\delta}\Big] p_T(x,y) \mathrm{d}y$$
$$\leq \exp\Big[\beta(T)|v| + \frac{C|v|^2}{\delta^2(1 \wedge T)^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}} + \frac{C|v|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}}{\{\delta^\alpha (1 \wedge T)\}^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}}\Big].$$

(3) *Let* $\alpha \in (1,2)$. *Then there exists a constant* $C > 0$ *such that for any* $p > 1, T > 0, v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ *and positive* $f \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$(P_T f)^p \leq \exp\Big[\frac{C(p \log p)|v|}{p-1} + \frac{Cp|v|^2}{(p-1)(1 \wedge T)^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}} + \frac{Cp^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}|v|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}}{[(p-1)(1 \wedge T)]^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}}\Big] P_T(f^p(v + \cdot)),$$
$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_T(x,y)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \mathrm{d}y \leq \frac{1}{(1 \wedge T)^{\frac{d}{\alpha(p-1)}}} \exp\Big[\frac{Cp \log p}{(p-1)^2} + \frac{Cp^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}}{(p-1)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}}\Big].$$

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we fix a path ℓ of S with finite jumps, and establish the integration by parts formula for the corresponding equation, i.e. the equation (1.1) with ℓ in place of S. In Section 3, we use this integration by parts formula to prove the above results by using finite jump approximations.

2 Integration by parts formula for the equation with finite jump

In this section, we let ℓ be a cádlág and increasing function on $[0, \infty)$ with $\ell(0) = 0$ such that the set $\{t \in [0,T] : \Delta\ell(t) := \ell(t) - \ell(t-) > 0\}$ is finite. We call ℓ a path of S with finite many jumps on $[0,T]$. Let X_t^ℓ solve the equation

$$X_t^\ell = \mathrm{e}^{At} X_0^\ell + \int_0^t \mathrm{e}^{A(t-s)} b_s(X_s^\ell) \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \mathrm{e}^{A(t-s)} \sigma_s \mathrm{d}W_{\ell(s)} + V_t, \quad t \geq 0, \tag{2.1}$$

and let P_t^ℓ be the associated Markov operator; i.e.

$$P_t^\ell f(x) := \mathbb{E} f(X_t^\ell(x)),$$

where $X_t^\ell(x)$ solves (2.1) for $X_0^\ell = x$. Moreover, let $(J_{s,t}^\ell, \tilde{J}_{s,t}^\ell)_{t\geq s}$ be defined in (1.2) for X^ℓ in place of X. The main result in this section is the following.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume* (H1) *and* (H2). *ℓ be a path of S with finite many jumps on $[0,T]$ and $\ell(T)>0$. Then*

$$P_T^\ell(\nabla_{\mathrm{e}^{AT}v} f) = \mathbb{E}\big\{ f(X_T) M_T^{\ell,v}\big\}, \quad v\in\mathbb{H},\ f\in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \tag{2.2}$$

holds for

$$\begin{aligned} M_T^{\ell,v} := \frac{1}{\ell(T)}\bigg(&\int_0^T \big\langle \sigma_t^{-1}\mathrm{e}^{At}(J_{t,T}^\ell)^{-1}v, \mathrm{d}W_{\ell(t)}\big\rangle \\ &+ \int_0^T \mathrm{d}\ell(t)\int_t^T \mathrm{Tr}\Big\{\sigma_t^{-1}\mathrm{e}^{At}(J_{t,r}^\ell)^{-1}\big(\nabla_{(J_{r,T}^\ell)^{-1}v}B_r\big)(X_r^\ell)\tilde{J}_{t,r}^\ell\sigma_t\Big\}\mathrm{d}r\bigg). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We shall use the integration by parts formula in the Malliavin calculus, see, for instance [8, 9]. For the Brwonian motion $(W_t)_{t\in[0,\ell(T)]}$, let $(D,\mathscr{D}(D))$ be the Malliavin gradient, and let $(D^*,\mathscr{D}(D^*))$ be its adjoint operator (i.e. the Malliavin divergence). Let $J_t^\ell = J_{0,t}^\ell$ and $\tilde{J}_t^\ell = \tilde{J}_{0,t}^\ell$. It is easy to see that

$$J_T^\ell = J_{t,T}^\ell J_t^\ell,\ \tilde{J}_T^\ell = \tilde{J}_{t,T}^\ell \tilde{J}_t^\ell,\ \tilde{J}_t^\ell = \mathrm{e}^{At}J_t^\ell, \quad T\geq t\geq 0. \tag{2.3}$$

Take

$$h(t) = \sum_{i=1}^t \big(t\wedge\ell(t_i) - \ell(t_{i-1})\big)^+ \sigma_{t_i}^{-1}\tilde{J}_{t_i}^\ell (J_T^\ell)^{-1}v, \quad t\in[0,\ell(T)].$$

From (H1) we see that J_t^ℓ and $(J_t^\ell)^{-1}$ are Malliavin differentiable for every $t\in[0,\ell(T)]$, such that $h\in\mathscr{D}(D^*)$. Since $(V_t)_{t\geq0}$ is independent of $(W_t)_{t\geq0}$, we have $D_hV_t=0$, so that (2.1) yields

$$\mathrm{d}D_hX_t^\ell = \big\{A + (\nabla b_t)(X_t^\ell)\big\}D_hX_t^\ell\,\mathrm{d}t + \sigma_t\mathrm{d}h_{\ell(t)}, \quad D_hX_0^\ell = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

Then by Duhamel's formula and (2.3),

$$D_hX_T^\ell = \int_0^T \tilde{J}_{t,T}^\ell\sigma_t\mathrm{d}h_{\ell(t)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{J}_{t_i,T}^\ell\sigma_{t_i}\sigma_{t_i}^{-1}\tilde{J}_{t_i}^\ell\Delta\ell(t_i)(J_T^\ell)^{-1}v = \ell(T)\mathrm{e}^{AT}v.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\nabla_{\mathrm{e}^{AT}v}f)(X_T^\ell) &= \frac{1}{\ell(T)}\mathbb{E}(\nabla_{D_hX_T^\ell}f)(X_T^\ell) \\ &= \frac{1}{\ell(T)}\mathbb{E}\big\{D_hf(X_T^\ell)\big\} = \frac{1}{\ell(T)}\mathbb{E}\big\{f(X_T^\ell)D^*(h)\big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

To calculate $D^*(h)$, let

$$h_{ik}(t) = (t \wedge \ell(t_i) - \ell(t_{i-1}))^+ e_k, \quad F_{ik} = \big\langle \sigma_{t_i}^{-1} \tilde{J}_{t_i}^{\ell} (J_T^{\ell})^{-1} v, e_k \big\rangle$$

for $1 \le i \le n, 1 \le k \le d, t \in [0, \ell(T)]$, where $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^d$ is the canonical orthonormal basis on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then

$$h(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{d} \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_{ik} h_{ik}(t), \quad t \in [0, \ell(T)].$$

Noting that h_{ik} is deterministic with $\int_0^{\ell(T)} |h'_{ik}(t)|^2 \mathrm{d}t < \infty$, we have

$$D^*(h_{ik}) = \int_0^{\ell(T)} \langle h'_{ik}(t), \mathrm{d}W_t \rangle = \langle e_k, W_{\ell(t_i)} - W_{\ell(t_{i-1})} \rangle.$$

Thus, using the formula $D^*(F_{ik} h_{ik}) = F_{ik} D^*(h_{ik}) - D_{h_{ik}} F_{ik}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D^*(h) &= \sum_{k=1}^{d} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \big\{ F_{ik} D^*(h_{ik}) - D_{h_{ik}} F_{ik} \big\} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{d} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \big\{ F_{ik} \langle e_k, W_{\ell(t_i)} - W_{\ell(t_{i-1})} \rangle - \langle \sigma_{t_i}^{-1} D_{h_{ik}} (\tilde{J}_{t_i}^{\ell} (J_T^{\ell})^{-1}) v, e_k \rangle \big\} \\ &= \int_0^T \big\langle \sigma_t^{-1} \tilde{J}_t^{\ell} (J_T^{\ell})^{-1} v, \mathrm{d}W_{\ell(t)} \big\rangle - \sum_{k=1}^{d} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \big\langle \sigma_{t_i}^{-1} D_{h_{ik}} (\tilde{J}_{t_i}^{\ell} (J_T^{\ell})^{-1}) v, e_k \big\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Since $\mathrm{d}h_{ik}(t)$ is supported on $(\ell(t_{i-1}), \ell(t_i))$ but $\tilde{J}_{t_i}^{\ell}$ is determined by $\{W_t : t \le \ell(t_{i-1})\}$, we have $D_{h_{ik}} \tilde{J}_{t_i}^{\ell} = 0$ so that

$$D_{h_{ik}} (\tilde{J}_{t_i}^{\ell} (J_T^{\ell})^{-1}) = \tilde{J}_{t_i}^{\ell} D_{h_{ik}} (J_T^{\ell})^{-1} = -\tilde{J}_{t_i}^{\ell} (J_T^{\ell})^{-1} (D_{h_{ik}} J_T^{\ell}) (J_T^{\ell})^{-1}. \tag{2.7}$$

Noting that (1.2) for $J_t^{\ell} := J_{0,t}^{\ell}$ yields

$$\mathrm{d} D_{h_{ik}} J_t^{\ell} = (\nabla_{D_{h_{ik}} X_t^{\ell}} B_t)(X_t^{\ell}) J_t^{\ell} \mathrm{d}t + B_t(X_t^{\ell}) D_{h_{ik}} J_t^{\ell} \mathrm{d}t, \quad D_{h_{ik}} J_0^{\ell} = 0,$$

by Duhamel's formula we obtain

$$D_{h_{ik}} J_T^{\ell} = \int_0^T J_{t,T}^{\ell} (\nabla_{D_{h_{ik}} X_t^{\ell}} B_t)(X_t^{\ell}) J_t^{\ell} \mathrm{d}t. \tag{2.8}$$

Moreover, it follows from (2.4) that

$$D_{h_{ik}} X_t^{\ell} = \int_0^t \tilde{J}_{s,t}^{\ell} \sigma_s \mathrm{d}(h_{ik})_{\ell(s)} = 1_{\{t_i \le t\}} (\ell(t_i) - \ell(t_{i-1})) \tilde{J}_{t_i,t}^{\ell} \sigma_{t_i} e_k.$$

Combining this with (2.8), we arrive at

$$D_{h_{ik}} J_T^{\ell} = (\Delta \ell(t_i)) \int_{t_i}^T J_{s,T}^{\ell} \big(\nabla_{\tilde{J}_{t_i,s}^{\ell} \sigma_{t_i} e_k} B_s \big)(X_s^{\ell}) J_s^{\ell} \mathrm{d}s.$$

Substituting this into (2.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=1}^d\sum_{i=1}^n \big\langle \sigma_{t_i}^{-1} D_{h_{ik}}(\tilde J_{t_i}^\ell (J_T^\ell)^{-1})v, e_k\big\rangle \\
&= -\sum_{k=1}^d\sum_{i=1}^n (\Delta\ell(t_i)) \int_{t_i}^T \big\langle \sigma_{t_i}^{-1}\tilde J_{t_i}^\ell (J_r^\ell)^{-1}\big(\nabla_{\tilde J_{t_i,r}^\ell \sigma_{t_i} e_k} B_r\big)(X_s^\ell)(J_{r,T}^\ell)^{-1}v, e_k\big\rangle \mathrm{d}r \\
&= -\sum_{k=1}^d \int_0^T \mathrm{d}\ell(t) \int_t^T \Big\langle \sigma_t^{-1}\tilde J_t^\ell (J_r^\ell)^{-1}\big(\nabla_{(J_{r,T}^\ell)^{-1}v} B_r\big)(X_r^\ell)\tilde J_{t,r}^\ell \sigma_t e_k, e_k\Big\rangle \mathrm{d}r \\
&= -\int_0^T \mathrm{d}\ell(t) \int_t^T \mathrm{Tr}\Big\{\sigma_t^{-1}\tilde J_t^\ell (J_r^\ell)^{-1}\big(\nabla_{(J_{r,T}^\ell)^{-1}v} B_r\big)(X_r^\ell)\tilde J_{t,r}^\ell \sigma_t\Big\}\mathrm{d}s.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we derive from (2.3) and (2.6) that $D^*(h) = M_T^{\ell,v}$ and hence, the proof is finished by (2.5). □

3 Proofs

Proof of Theorem 1.1. According to [10, Theorem 2.4(1)], the second assertion follows from the first. So, it suffices to prove the desired integration by parts formula. For any path ℓ of S with $\ell(T) > 0$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, let

$$\ell_\varepsilon(t) = \sum_{s\le t} \Delta\ell(s) 1_{\{\Delta\ell(s)\ge\varepsilon\}}, \quad t \ge 0.$$

Then ℓ_ε has finite many jumps on $[0,T]$. Moreover, $\mathrm{d}\ell_\varepsilon(t) \to \mathrm{d}\ell(t)$ on $[0,T]$ strongly as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Note that by (1.4), (H1) and (H2),

$$\big\|\sigma_t^{-1}(J_{t,T}^{\ell_\varepsilon})^{-1}\big\| + \int_t^T \big\|\sigma_t^{-1}(J_{t,r}^{\ell_\varepsilon})^{-1}\big(\nabla_{(J_{t,r}^{\ell_\varepsilon})^{-1}\sigma_t e_k} B_r\big)(X_r^{\ell_\varepsilon})\tilde J_{t,r}^{\ell_\varepsilon}\big\|\mathrm{d}r$$

is bounded in $(t,\varepsilon) \in [0,T]\times[0,1]$, and by [13, Lemma 3.1]

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \mathbb{E}\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |X_t^{\ell_\varepsilon} - X_t^\ell|^2 = 0,$$

which together with (H1) implies

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \mathbb{E}\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \big(\|J_t^{\ell_\varepsilon} - J_t^\ell\|^2 + \|(J_t^{\ell_\varepsilon})^{-1} - (J_t^\ell)^{-1}\|^2\big) = 0.$$

Due the contraction of e^{At} and the second formula in (2.3), the same holds for $\tilde J_t$ in place of J_t. Combining these with (1.4), (H1) and (H2), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} P_T^{\ell_\varepsilon}(\nabla_v f) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \mathbb{E}(\nabla_v f)(X_T^{\ell_\varepsilon}) = P_T^\ell(\nabla_v f), \\
&\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \mathbb{E}\big\{f(X_T^{\ell_\varepsilon}) M_T^{\ell_\varepsilon,v}\big\} = \mathbb{E}\big\{f(X_T^\ell) M_T^{\ell,v}\big\}, \quad f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, first applying Theorem 2.1 to ℓ_ε in place of ℓ then letting $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, we obtain

$$P_T^\ell(\nabla_v f) = \mathbb{E}\big\{f(X_T^\ell)M_T^{\ell,v}\big\}$$

for all sample path ℓ of S with $\ell(T) > 0$. Since $\mathbb{E}S(T)^{-\frac{1}{2}} < \infty$ implies $S(T) > 0$, and noting that $X_T = X_T^S, M_T^v = M_T^{S,v}$, we obtain

$$P_T^S(\nabla_v f) = \mathbb{E}^S\big\{f(X_T)M_T^v\big\}, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\mathbb{E}^S$ is the conditional expectation given S. Moreover, it follows from (1.4), (H1), (H2), and $\mathbb{E}S(T)^{-\frac{1}{2}} < \infty$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\big|M_T^v\big| &= \mathbb{E}\big[\mathbb{E}^S|M_T^v|\big] \\
&\le \mathbb{E}\bigg[\frac{1}{S(T)}\bigg(\mathbb{E}^S\int_0^T |\sigma_t^{-1}J_{t,T}^{-1}v|^2\mathrm{d}S(t)\bigg)^{1/2} \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^d \frac{1}{S(T)}\int_0^T \mathrm{d}S(t)\int_t^T \|\sigma_t^{-1}J_{t,r}^{-1}\|\cdot\big|\big(\nabla_{J_{t,r}^{-1}v}B_r\big)(X_r)\tilde{J}_{t,r}\sigma_t e_k\big|\mathrm{d}r\bigg] \\
&\le |v|\Big(\lambda_2(T)\mathrm{e}^{TK_1(T)}\mathbb{E}S(T)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + dT\lambda_1(T)\lambda_2(T)K_2(T)\mathrm{e}^{3TK_1(T)}\Big) < \infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Then $M_T^v \in L^1(\mathbb{P})$ so that (3.1) yields

$$P_T(\nabla_v f) = \mathbb{E}P_T^S(\nabla_v f) = \mathbb{E}\big[f(X_T)M_T^v\big].$$

This completes the proof. □

Proof of Corollary 1.2. We have $\tilde{J}_t = J_t$. Assertion (1) follows immediately from (3.2), Theorem 1.1 and [10, Theorem 2.4(1)] with $H(r) = r$.

Next, by (1.3), (H1), (H2) and the Burkholder inequality [17, Theorem 2.3] (see also [13, Lemma 2.1]), for any $p > 1$ there exists a constant $C(p) \ge 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\big(\mathbb{E}|M_T^v|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\big)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} &\le \beta(T)|v| + C(p)\Big(\mathbb{E}\frac{(\int_0^T |\sigma_t^{-1}J_{t,T}^{-1}v|^2\mathrm{d}S(t))^{\frac{p}{2(p-1)}}}{S(T)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}\Big)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\
&\le \beta(T)|v| + C(p)|v|\lambda_2(T)\mathrm{e}^{TK_1(T)}\big(\mathbb{E}S(T)^{-\frac{p}{2(p-1)}}\big)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then assertion (2) follows from [10, Theorem 2.4(1)] with $H(r) = r^{\frac{p}{p-1}}$ and the fact that

$$|P_T(\nabla_v f)| = \Big|\mathbb{E}\big\{f(X_T)M_T^v\big\}\Big| \le (P_T|f|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}\big(\mathbb{E}|M_T^v|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\big)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}, \quad v \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Finally, by Theorem 1.1 and the Young inequality (see [2, Lemma 2.4]), if $f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is nonnegative, then

$$|P_T(\nabla_v f)| = \Big|\mathbb{E}\big\{f(X_T^\ell)M_T^v\big\}\Big| \le \delta\mathrm{Ent}_{\mathrm{P_T}}(f) + \delta(P_T f)\log\mathbb{E}\exp\Big[\frac{M_T^v}{\delta}\Big],\ \delta > 0. \tag{3.3}$$

Obviously, by (1.3), (H1) and (H2),

$$M_T^v \le \beta(T)|v| + \frac{1}{S(T)}\int_0^T \langle \sigma_t^{-1} J_{t,T}^{-1} v, \mathrm{d}W_{S(t)}\rangle,$$
$$\mathbb{E}^S \exp\left[\frac{1}{\delta S(T)}\int_0^T \langle \sigma_t^{-1} J_{t,T}^{-1} v, \mathrm{d}W_{S(t)}\rangle\right] \le \exp\left[\frac{\lambda_2(T)^2|v|^2 \mathrm{e}^{2TK_1(T)}}{2\delta^2 S(T)}\right], \quad \delta > 0.$$

Then

$$\log \mathbb{E}\exp\Big[\frac{M_T^v}{\delta}\Big] \le \frac{\beta(T)|v|}{\delta} + \log \mathbb{E}\exp\left[\frac{\lambda_2(T)^2|v|^2 \mathrm{e}^{2TK_1(T)}}{2\delta^2 S(T)}\right], \quad \delta > 0.$$

By combining this with (3.3) and [10, Theorem 2.4(1)] for $H(r) = \mathrm{e}^{r/\delta}$, we prove (3). □

Proof of Corollary 1.3. By [10, Theorem 2.5(2)], the second assertion follows from the first. So, we only need to prove the required shift Harnack inequality (1.6) for $v \ne 0$. By Corollary 1.2(3), we have

$$|P_T(\nabla_v f)| \le \delta \mathrm{Ent}_{P_T}(f) + (P_T f)\Big(\beta(T)|v| + \delta \log \mathbb{E}\exp\Big[\frac{\lambda_2(T)|v|^2}{2\delta^2 S(T)}\mathrm{e}^{\int_0^T K_1(t)\mathrm{d}t}\Big]\Big), \quad \delta > 0.$$

So, letting

$$\beta_v(\delta) = \beta(T)|v| + \delta \log \mathbb{E}\exp\Big[\frac{\lambda_2(T)^2|v|^2 \mathrm{e}^{2TK_1(T)}}{2\delta^2 S(T)}\Big], \quad \delta > 0,$$

we obtain from [10, Proposition 2.3] that

$$(P_T f)^p \le (P_T f^p(v+\cdot)) \exp\left[\int_0^1 \frac{p}{1+(p-1)s}\beta_v\Big(\frac{p-1}{1+(p-1)s}\Big)\mathrm{d}s\right]. \tag{3.4}$$

By the Jensen inequality, for $\delta = \frac{p-1}{1+(p-1)s}$ we have

$$\mathbb{E}\exp\Big[\frac{\lambda_2(T)^2|v|^2 \mathrm{e}^{2TK_1(T)}}{2\delta^2 S(T)}\Big] \le \left(\mathbb{E}\exp\Big[\frac{p^2\lambda_2(T)^2|v|^2 \mathrm{e}^{2TK_1(T)}}{2(p-1)^2 S(T)}\Big]\right)^{\frac{(1+(p-1)s)^2}{p^2}}$$
$$= \Gamma_{T,p}(|v|)^{\frac{(1+(p-1)s)^2}{p^2}}.$$

Thus,

$$\int_0^1 \frac{p}{1+(p-1)s}\beta_v\Big(\frac{p-1}{1+(p-1)s}\Big)\mathrm{d}s$$
$$\le \beta(T)|v| \int_0^1 \frac{p}{1+(p-1)s}\mathrm{d}s + \frac{p-1}{p}\log \Gamma_{T,p}(|v|)\mathrm{d}s$$
$$= \frac{p\log p}{p-1}\beta(T)|v| + \frac{p-1}{p}\log \Gamma_{T,p}(|v|).$$

Then the proof is finished by combining this with (3.4). □

Proof of Corollary 1.4. Since assertions in Corollaries 1.2 and 1.3 are unform in V, we may apply them for any deterministic path of V in place of the process V, so that these two Corollaries remain true for P_T^V in place of P_T, where

$$P_T^V f(x) = \mathbb{E}^V(f(X_T(x)) := \mathbb{E}\big(f(X_T(x))|V\big).$$

Next, we observe that by the Markov property it suffices to prove the assertions for P_T^V in place of P_T with $T \in (0,1]$. In fact, for $T > 1$ let

$$P_{1,T}^V f(x) = \mathbb{E}^V f(X_{1,T}(x)), \quad f \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d), x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where $(X_{1,t}(x))_{t\geq 1}$ solves the equation

$$X_{1,t}(x) = x + \int_1^t b_s(X_{1,s}(s))\mathrm{d}s + \int_1^t \sigma_s \mathrm{d}W_{S(s)} + V_t - V_1, \quad t \geq 1.$$

Then by the Markov property of X_t under $\mathbb{E}^V$, we obtain,

$$P_T^V f = P_{1,T}^V(P_1^V f), \quad f \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Combining this with the assertions for $T = 1$ and using the Jensen inequality, we prove the assertions for $T > 1$. For instance, if for $p > 1$ one has

$$|P_1^V(\nabla f)| \leq C(p)(P_1^V|f|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

then for any $T > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}|P_T(\nabla f)| &= |\mathbb{E}P_{1,T}^V P_1^V(\nabla f)| \leq \mathbb{E}P_{1,T}^V|P_1^V(\nabla f)| \\ &\leq C(p)\mathbb{E}P_{1,T}^V(P_1^V|f|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C(p)(P_T|f|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \frac{C(p)(P_T|f|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{(1\wedge T)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}.\end{aligned}$$

Below we prove assertions (1)-(3) for $T \in (0,1]$ respectively.

(1) Since $\beta(T) + \lambda_2(T)\mathrm{e}^{TK_1(T)}$ is bounded for $T \in (0,1]$, and by [13, (ii) in the proof of Theorem 1.1]

$$\Big(\mathbb{E}S(T)^{-\frac{p}{2(p-1)}}\Big)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \leq \frac{C}{T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}, \quad T \in (0,1]$$

holds for some constant $C > 0$, the desired assertion follows from Corollary 1.2(2).

(2) Let $\alpha \in (1,2)$, and let S_α be the subordinator induced by the Bernstein function $r \mapsto r^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$. Then as shown in [12, Proof of Corollary 1.2] that

$$\mathbb{E}\frac{1}{S(T)^k} \leq c_0\mathbb{E}\frac{1}{S_\alpha(T)^k}, \quad k \geq 1, T \in (0,1]$$

holds for some constant $c_0 \geq 1$. Combining this with the third display from below in the proof of [7, Theorem 1.1] for $\kappa = 1$, i.e. (note the α therein is $\alpha/2$ here)

$$\mathbb{E}\mathrm{e}^{\lambda/\tilde{S}(t)} \leq 1 + \bigg(\exp\Big[\frac{c_1\lambda^{\frac{\alpha}{2(\alpha-1)}}}{t^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}}\Big] - 1\bigg)^{\frac{2(\alpha-1)}{\alpha}} \leq \exp\Big[\frac{c_2\lambda}{t^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}} + \frac{c_2\lambda^{\frac{\alpha}{2(\alpha-1)}}}{t^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}}\Big], \quad \lambda, t \geq 0$$

for some constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\mathrm{e}^{\lambda/S(T)} &\le 1 + c_0\big(\mathbb{E}\mathrm{e}^{\lambda/S_\alpha(T)} - 1\big) \le \mathbb{E}\mathrm{e}^{c_0\lambda/S_\alpha(T)} \\ &\le \exp\Big[\frac{c_3\lambda}{t^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}} + \frac{c_3\lambda^{\frac{\alpha}{2(\alpha-1)}}}{t^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}}\Big], \quad T \in (0,1], \lambda \ge 0\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

for some constant $c_3 > 0$. By Corollary 1.2(3) and (3.5), we prove the desired assertion.

(3) By (3.5), there exists a constant $c_4 > 0$ such that

$$\Gamma_{T,p}(r) \le \exp\Big[\frac{c_4 p^2 r^2}{(p-1)^2 T^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}} + \frac{c_4 (pr)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}}{(p-1)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} T^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}}\Big], \quad r \ge 0, T \in (0,1].$$

Then there exists a constant $c_5 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{p(\log p)\beta(T)|v|}{p-1} + \frac{p-1}{p}\log\Gamma_{T,p}(|v|) \\ &\le \frac{c_5(p\log p)|v|}{p-1} + \frac{c_5 p|v|^2}{(p-1)T^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}} + \frac{c_5 p^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}|v|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}}{(p-1)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}} T^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}}, \quad T \in (0,1], v \in \mathbb{R}^d.\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

By Corollary 1.3, this implies the first inequality in (3) for some constant $C > 0$. Finally, the second inequality in (3) follows since (3.6) and Corollary 1.3 imply

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_T(x,y)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\mathrm{d}y &\le \bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\exp\Big[-\frac{C(p\log p)|v|}{p-1} - \frac{Cp|v|^2}{(p-1)T^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}} - \frac{Cp^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}|v|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}}{[(p-1)T]^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}}\Big]\mathrm{d}v\bigg)^{\frac{-1}{p-1}} \\ &\le \bigg(\int_{\{|v|\le T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\}}\mathrm{d}v\bigg)^{\frac{-1}{p-1}}\exp\Big[\frac{Cp(1+\log p)}{(p-1)^2} + \frac{Cp^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}}{(p-1)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}}\Big] \\ &\le \frac{1}{T^{\frac{d}{\alpha(p-1)}}}\exp\Big[\frac{C'p\log p}{(p-1)^2} + \frac{C'p^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}}{(p-1)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}}\Big]\end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C' \ge C$. □

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank professor Xicheng Zhang and the referee for helpful comments.

References

[1] D. Applebaum: *Lévy Processes and Stochastic Calculus,* Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004.

[2] M. Arnaudon, A. Thalmaier, F.-Y. Wang, *Gradient estimates and Harnack inequalities on non-compact Riemannian manifolds,* Stoch. Proc. Appl. 119(2009), 3653–3670.

[3] B. Driver, *Integration by parts for heat kernel measures revisited,* J. Math. Pures Appl. 76(1997), 703–737.

[4] X.-L. Fan, *Integration by parts formula, derivative formula, and transportation inequalities for SDEs driven by fractional Brownian motion,* Stoch. Anal. Appl. 33(2015), 199–212.

[5] M. Gordina, M. Röckner, F.-Y. Wang, *Dimension-free Harnack inequalities for subordinated semigroups,* Pot. Anal. 34(2011), 293–307.

[6] N. Jacob, *Pseudo Differential Operators and Markov Processes (Volume I),* Imperial College Press, London, 2001.

[7] M. Gordiba, M. Röckner, F.-Y. Wang, *Dimension-independent Harnack inequality for subordinated semigroups,* Potential Analysis 34(2011), 293–307.

[8] P. Malliavin, *Stochastic analysis,* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.

[9] D. Nualart, *The Malliavin calculus and related topics,* Second Edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005.

[10] F.-Y. Wang, *Integration by parts formula and shift Harnack inequality for stochastic equations,* Ann. Probab. 42(2014), 994–1019.

[11] F.-Y. Wang, *Integration by parts formula and applications for SDEs with Lévy noise (in Chinese),* Sci. Sin. Math. 45(2015), 461–470.

[12] F.-Y. Wang, J. Wang, *Harnack inequalities for stochastic equations driven by Lévy noise,* J. Math. Anal. Appl. 410(2014), 513–523.

[13] F.-Y. Wang, L. Xu, X. Zhang, *Gradient estimates for SDEs driven by multiplicative Lévy noise,* J. Funct. Anal. 269(2015), 3195–3219.

[14] F.-Y. Wang, X. Zhang, *Derivative formula and applications for degenerate diffusion semigroups,* J. Math. Pures Appl. 99(2013), 726–740.

[15] L. Wang, X. Zhang, *Harnack inequalities for SDEs driven by cylindrical α-stable processes,* Potential Anal. 42(2015), 657–669.

[16] S.-Q. Zhang, *Shift Harnack inequality and integration by parts formula for semilinear SPDE,* arXiv:1208.2425.

[17] X. Zhang, *Derivative formula and gradient estimate for SDEs driven by α-stable processes,* Stoch. Proc. Appl. 123(2013), 1213–1228.